M, M. Chambers Education Building, Indiana University Bloomington, Indiana 47405

SINCE 1958		XTH EAR
Number 65	JULY 1964 Page	429
	GRAPEVINE * * * *	
versities, co	on state tax legislation; state appropriations for uni- lleges, and junior colleges; legislation affecting edu- level. There is no charge for GRAPEVINE, but recipies send timely newsnotes regarding pertinent events in the	- nts
	IN THIS ISSUE	
Alaska approp	riate \$5,300,000 for operating expenses of University for fiscal year 1964-65	Ļ 30
California wi	11 vote on \$380 million bond issue in November 1964	431
<u>Delaware</u> legi	slature spells out a substantial sphere of administrative and fiscal autonomy for University	431
Michigan negl	ects tax reform, but will have surplus because of prosperity in automobile industry	433
<u>Mississippi</u> a	ppropriations for biennium 1964-66 for operating expenses of higher education show 2-year gain of 32%.	434
<u>Missouri</u> will	have about 53% of 1963-65 biennial appropriation available for fiscal year 1964-65	435
New Jersey sh	nows, as between fiscal years 1962-63 and 1964-65, a 2-year gain of 292% in appropriations for annual operating expenses of higher education	433
Oklahoma has	custom of making supplemental appropriations in second fiscal year of each biennium	435
Rhode Island	appropriates almost \$9 million for operating expenses of higher education for fiscal year 1964-65.	436
South Dakota	brightens the picture with substantial supplemental appropriations for fiscal year 1964-65	436
TWENTY-ONE S	TATES EXHIBIT AVERAGE 2-YEAR GAIN OF 28%	430
Statement of	ownership and circulation of GRAPEWINE is on Page 430	(reverse

Table 86. State tax-fund appropriations for operating expenses of higher education, in thousands of dollars, with dollar gains and percentage gains from 1962-63 to 1964-65, representing change over a period of two years, in 21 states.

	Year	Year	2-Year	Percent.
<u>States</u>	1962-63	1964-65	gain	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Alaska	\$3,301		\$1,999	
Arizona	20,422	29,741	9,319	
California 🖡	243,808	303,982	60,174	
Colorado	29,916	34,314	4,398	
Delaware	5,094	6,493	1,399	
Georgia	32,162		9,608	
Hawaii	8,515	12,580	4,065	
~	, .		.,,	
Kansas	35,038	43,833	8,795	25 %
Kentucky	29,573	42,782	13,209	
Maryland	29,809	37,665	7,856	26½%
Michigan	104,082	131, 58	27,076	26 %
Mississippi	17,500	23,081	5,581	32 %
New Jersey	34,079	44,116	10,037	29 1 %
New Mexico	14,372	18,636	4,264	292%
	1,32,	,-,-		. ~ /2/
New York	156,556	215,903	59,347	38 %
Oklahoma		33,505	3,491	刊 表%
Rhode Island	7.697	8,971	1,274	16½%
South Carolina	15,440	19,286	3,846	25 %
South Dakota	8,702	12,338	3,636	42 %
Virginia	34,204	39,527	5,323	152%
West Virginia	30,743	23,761	3,018	145%
<u>Totals</u>	881,077		247,715	
1.128.792				
Approximate weighted average 28 %				

ALASKA. Appropriations of state tax funds for operating expenses of higher education for fiscal year 1964-65:

Table 87. State tax-fund appropriations for operating expenses of higher education in Alaska for fiscal year 1964-65, in thousands of dollars.

Institutions Sums approx	oriated
(1)	(2)
U of Alaska	\$3,748
Geophysical Institute	220
Ag Experiment Sta	216
Ag Extension Service	195
Inst of Marine Science	140
Inst of Arctic Biology	100
Mineral Industry Research	50
Inst of Bus, Econ, Acetg	30
Electronic Technology	-21
Rental of heating plant	300
Community college program	280
Total	5,300

As against the comparable appropriation for fiscal year 1962-63, two years ago, the total represents a gain of about 60½%.

GRAPEVINE is owned and circulated by M. M. Chambers. It is not a publication of any institution or association. Responsibility for any errors in the data, or for opinions expressed, is not to be attributed to any organization or person other than M. M. Chambers, GRAPEVINE is circulated chiefly to persons in position to reciprocate by furnishing prompt and accurate reports from their respective states regarding tax legislation, appropriations for higher education, and legislation affecting education at any level.

Address communications to M. M. Chambers, Education Buildings, Indiana University Bloomington, Indiana 47405

M. M. Chambers, Education Building, Indiana University, Bloomington

CALIFORNIA. Appropriations of state tax funds for operating expenses of higher education for fiscal year 1964-65:

Table 88. State tax-fund appropriations for operating expenses of higher education in California, fiscal year 1964-65, in thousands of dollars.

Tu -124-12	manage at ad
Institutions Sums a	oppropriated
	·6470 041
U of California	\$172,014
For salary increases*	8,950
Subtotal, U Cal - \$180,964	
State Colleges	2. 2
San Jose	14,907
San Diego	11,637
Cal Polytech	11,576
Los Angeles	11,189
San Francisco	11,004
Long Beach	10,127
San Fernando	7 , 810.
Fresno	7,205
Sacramento	6,061
Chico	4,685
Humboldt	3,690
Fullerton**	3,299
Hayward***	3,055
Sonoma	1,282
Stanislaus	904
Palos Verdes****	415
San Bernardino	408
New and improved programs	1,784
International program	254
	4,650
For salary increases*	1.344
State College Trustees	
Subtotal, St Colls - 117,28	512
Hastings College of Law	
Maritime Academy	495
Cal College of Medicine@	379
Coordinating Council H E	333
State aid to Junior Colls @@	
Scholarship Commission	4,013
Total	303,982
* Appropriated by a special	session in

* Appropriated by a special session in 1963.

** Formerly Orange County State College. *** Formerly Alameda State College.

**** Formerly South Bay State College. U Formerly a private college of osteopathy, now state-controlled and statesupported as a result of an act of 1963.

@@ Estimated as \$48 million.

CALIFORNIA (continued)

The 1964 legislature approved a proposed bond issue of \$380 million for state construction of buildings, to be submitted to popular vote in November 1964.

If the measure is adopted by popular vote, approximately \$265 million of the proceeds will go to public higher educational institutions, including \$50 million to the local public junior colleges.

<u>DELAWARE</u>. Appropriations of state tax funds for operating expenses of higher education for fiscal year 1964-65:

Table 89. State tax-fund appropriations for operating expense of higher education in Delaware, for fiscal year 1964-65, in thousands of dollars

MORPHING OF MOTTERS.
Sums appropriated
. (2)
\$5,807
ege 685
6.493

The total appears to represent a gain of about 272% over the comparable figure for fiscal year 1962-63, two years earlier.

It is noteworthy that, at the request of the Board of Trustees of the University of Delaware, the legislature enacted certain changes in the statutes which constitute the charter of the university, with a view toward confirming and spelling out in detail the fiscal independence of the board of trustees, which has long been the public policy of the state.

The statutes, as amended, now

declare:

(1) "Notwithstanding any provisions appearing elsewhere in the laws of this state which might suggest or provide the contrary, the 'entire control and management of the affairs of the University, which is conferred upon the Board of Trustees by the foregoing paragraph, shall be construed, in the area of fiscal and revenue matters, as

including but not as being limited to, the following powers and duties:

(a) "All authority with respect to salaries and compensatory payments or benefits, as well as other terms of employment, of any and all University personnel, and individual salaries or salary increases or other benefits do not have to be reported or justified to any official or agency of the state (except to cooperate in the ordinary way with the appropriate officials with respect to income tax or other tax matters.

(b) "The management of all the remaining fiscal affairs of the University, including the establishment of fees and charges, the collection thereof and the adoption of the University's budget, the establishment of all accounting and auditing procedures, (subject to the duty to obtain independent certified audits

as provided in Section 5109).

(c) "The authorization, issuance, and repayment of bonds or other obligations of the University; the selection of means and procedures for the investment, deposit, and control of all monies, funds, and securities which are now held or which may at any time be received by the University, as well as the allocation use, and reinvestment of the proceeds and earnings of any such deposits and investments, and the right to commingle funds appropriated by the state with other funds of the University.

(d) "The right to elect whether and to what extent to participate in programs of which all or a part of the costs are provided by the United States of America or by the State of Delaware or any subdivision or municipality thereof; control of all matters having to do with the formulation of the terms of contracts for the construction of buildings or other University facilities, as well as the manner of awarding contracts or purchasing supplies and equipment.

(e) "In respect to the purchase of supplies and equipment, regulations established by the state for bulk or central purchasing are not to apply to the University of Delaware unless the General Assembly expressly so provides, and in that case are to be understood as

applying only to transactions involving the expenditure of monies which have been appropriated from the General Fund of the State; the planning for buildings and improvements and the extension or diminution of the campus or other land holdings are matters wholly under the control of the Trustees except where inspections or regulations may be provided for by law in respects involving the health or safety of the occupants of the buildings.

(f) "Where monies are appropriated by the General Assembly to the University, unless the General Assembly should expressly provide otherwise, they are intended to be paid to the University in equal monthly installments, and are not in any event to be cumbered by any procedures calling for pre-audit or other administrative control exercised by the Budget Director or other agency or

official of the State."

The Section 5109 mentioned in (b) above was re-drafted to read as follows:

(1) "The accounting records of the University may be audited by the Auditor of Accounts of the State of Delaware or such other auditing official as the General Assembly may from time to time appoint. Neither this nor any other law of this state, however, shall hereafter be construed as imposing any duty upon, or creating the occasion for, any state official (with the exception of such state officials as may from time to time also be Trustees of the University) to audit, question, or inquire into the receipt, handling or expenditure of any funds coming to the University from any source other than a state appropriation, provided, however, that if the University should horeafter commingle funds which came from a state appropriation with other funds, the audit of state-appropriated funds may be made complete even if in the process of doing so, because of such commingling, non-state funds have to be audited as well.

(2) "The University shall continue the practice, which has heretofore been followed on a voluntary basis, of obtaining an annual certified audit of allowits financial transactions and making the audit report available to the members of

M. M. Chambers, Education Building, Indiana University, Bloomington

DELAWARE (continued from Page 432)

the University's Board of Trustees, including the Governor of the State."

GRAPEVINE knows of no other state wherein the fiscal autonomy of the state university has been so explicitly written into the state statutes. In other states such as California, Michigan, and Minnesota, where the state universities have high degrees of fiscal independence, this is the result of brief clauses in the state constitutions which have been interpreted in successive decisions of the state supreme courts.

In some other states, such as Indiana and Missouri, the state universities enjoy a large measure of fiscal autonomy by virtue of legislative custom, but without any explicit declaration for their protection in either the constitutions or the statutes. Delaware's detailed declaration is a matter of great interest, apparently representing another gain for the theory that a reasonable freedom in fiscal matters is indispensable to the progress of a state university.

NEW JERSEY. Appropriations of state tax funds for operating expenses of higher education for fiscal year 1964-65:

Table 90. State tax-fund appropriations for operating expenses of higher education in New Jersey, for fiscal

year 1964-65, in thousa	ands of dollars.
Institutions Sums	s appropriated
_ · (1)	(2)
Rutgers, the State U	\$21,678
State colleges -	" -
Trenton	4,066
Montclair	3,859
Glassboro	3,587
Newark	3,103
Paterson	2,765
Jersey City	2,730
Newark College of Engrng	2,328
Total	44.116

The total appears to be a gain of about 292% over the comparable figure for fiscal year 1962-63, two years earlier.

MICHIGAN. Appropriations of state tax funds for operating expenses of higher education for fiscal year 1964-65:

Table 91. State tax-fund appropriations for operating expenses of higher education in Michigan, fiscal year 1964-65, in thousands of dollars.

1704-074 III UIOUSUIUS U	r dorrars.
Institutions Sums	appropriated
U of Michigan* - \$44,086	\$44,086
Michigan State U	31,384
Ag Experiment Sta	3,278
Ag Extension Service	2.534
" Oakland U**	2,195
Subtotal MSU - \$39.392	
Wayne State U* \$20,128	20,128
Western Mich U	7,720
Eastern Mich U	4,795
Central Mich U	4,177
Mich Technological U***	4,098
Ferris State College****	3,255
Northern Mich U	2,410
Grand Valley St Coll	1,097
Subtotal, 10 insts -	131,158
State aid to jr colls	****
Total	****

* Includes medical units; and, in the case of the University of Michigan, senior college units at Flint and Dearborn.

** A new 4-year liberal arts institution located at a distance but governed by the Board of Trustees of Michigan State University

*** Formerly Michigan College of Mining and Technology.

**** Formerly Ferris Institute.

***** The emount appropriated as state
aid for operating expenses of local
public community-junior colleges has not

yet been reported to GRAPEVINE.

The total for the ten state institutions represents a gain of 26% over the comparable sum appropriated for fiscal year 1962-63, two years ago. The gain over the intervening fiscal year (1963-64) is only slightly less than 20%. This is the first time in six years that the Michigan institutions have had an annual gain of as much as 10%. For one recent fiscal year (1961-62) their gain was less than $\frac{1}{2}$ of 1%.

(Continued on Page 434)

The change is said to be due to the prosperity of the automobile industry, which has pushed Michigan tax receipts well above estimates, so that a deficit of \$85.6 million on June 30, 1962 was reduced to \$22.8 million within a year, and it is estimated that there will be a surplus of more than \$60 million in the treasury by June 30, 1964.

Major tax reforms, including a flat-rate state income tax, debated for years and strongly advocated by Governor George Romney in 1963, have not been enacted.

MISSISSIPPI. Appropriations of state tax funds for operating expenses of higher education in Mississippi for biennium 1964-66 are \$46,162,000 (not including an additional sum made available as state aid for operating expenses of local public junior colleges).

This is an increase of approximately 32% over the comparable sum appropriated for biennium 1962-64, two years ago.

Table 92. State tax-fund appropriations for operating expenses of higher education in Mississippi for biennium 1964-66. in thousands of dollars.

1)CA-CO : III OHOUSHIUS OF UCS	
Objects Sums apr	ropriated
(1)	(2)
General Support*	\$30,037*
Ag Extension Service	2,990
Ag Experiment Station	2,435
Univ Medical School	3,658
Univ Teaching Hospital	4,126
Univ School of Nursing	415
Graduate and technical stdnts	575
Aid to nursing education	195
Regional education	416
Chemical regulatory	345
Gulf Coast Research Lab	60
Technical institutes	200
Forest Products Research Inst	60
Pharmaceutical Research Inst	150
Research Development Center	500
Total**	46,162**

* This sum is for allocation by the Board of Regents of Institutions of Higher Learning to the nine institutions named in Table 93.

** Adding \$5,700,000, appropriated as state aid for operating expenses of local public junior colleges, brings this total up to \$51,862,000, one-half of which is the figure used in the 50-state summary table (Table 86, page 430, this issue).

The allocations to the nine institutions for the fiscal year 1964-65 only are exhibited in Table 93, in order to provide a picture of the annual state tax financing of the operations of each institution, in a manner comparable to the exhibits of other states.

TABLE 93. Allocations of state tax funds for operating expense of higher education in Mississippi for fiscal year 1964-65 to nine institutions, in thousands of dollar.

<u>Institutions</u>	Sums allocated
(1)	(2)
U of Mississippi .	
(including medical	units) \$7,532
Mississippi State U	•
(incl agricultural	servs) 6,851
U of Southern Missis	sippi 2,640
Miss State Coll for N	Women 1,242
Jackson State College	e 1,139
Alcorn A & M College	939
Delta State College	908
Miss Valley State Co.	llege 752
Gulf Coast Research	Lab 180
Total *	22,183*

* It will be noticed that this total is slightly less than half of the total appropriated for the biennium. (Table 92). This is because the Board of Trustees of Institutions of Higher Learning has a sphere of discretion as to the timing and amount of its allocations.

For convenience, one-half the total appropriations for the biennium is used as the figure for fiscal year 1964-65 in the 50-state summary table (Table 86).

MISSOURI. Appropriations were made in 1963 for biennium 1963-65, in the total amount of \$88,951,000 for operating expenses of higher education, including \$3,376,000 for state aid for operating expenses of local public junior colleges.

Subtracting the amounts allocated to the several institutions through June 30, 1964 produces a close approximation of the amounts available to them for the fiscal year 1964-65:

Table 94. Approximate portions of biennial appropriation of state tax funds available for fiscal year 1964-65 for operating expenses of higher education in Missouri, in thousands of dollars.

<u>dollars.</u>	
Institutions	Sums available
(1) ·	(2)
U of Missouri (Columbia	1) \$14,906
Sch of Med and Hosp	5,996
Ag Exten Service	2,073
<u>Ag Experiment Sta</u>	1.725
Subtotal - \$24,700 (Col	umbia)
U of Ho Rolla Campus	3,164
U of Mo Kansas City	2,954
U of Mo St Louis	1.51
U of Mo Space Technol	177
Subtotal (All U of Mo)	- \$31.449
State colleges	\\\
Central Missouri	3,104
Southeast	2,616
Southwest	2,487
Northeast	2,231
Northwest	1.778
Subtotal St Colls - 313	.216
Lincoln University	1,343
State aid to Jr Colls	1.839
Total	46.847

It seems that fiscal year 1964-65 shows a gain of about 35% over the comparable figure for fiscal year 1962-63 two years ago. In keeping with the rough-and-ready custom of GRAPEVINE, the percentage of increase is not computed to the last decimal point, because GRAPEVINE's figures purport in general to be only close approximations within a few thousands from the precise mark.

GRAPEVINE is, as is well known, always and forever grateful to be informed whenever it circulates a substantial error.

A principal reason for GRAPEVINE's existence is that its reports are usually timely and fresh. It spends little time pursuing petty discrepancies that would in no event materially change the main thrust of its intelligence. Yet it welcomes every parcel of information that comes from key persons in the state universities and the state capitals, without whose generous aid it could not operate at all.

OKLAHOMA. This state is entered in Table 86, this issue, because in preparing for the annual summary of the fifty states for fiscal year 1964-65 an explanatory comment is desirable.

The Oklahoma appropriation for fiscal year 1963-64 was \$33,505,000 (total for 18 institutions). The same act provided for an appropriation of \$29,227,000 for fiscal year 1964-65. This does not, however, mean a decrease for 1964-65 as compared with 1963-64.

In accord with a custom of several years' standing, the Oklahoma legislature at its regular biennial session in odd-numbered years makes a full appropriation for the first fiscal year of the ensuing biennium, and an appropriation for the second year which is approximately 10 to 15% deficient. The expectation uniformly is that the next regular session will make an appropriation to supply the deficiency, and this has regularly occurred.

There is every reason to suppose that the regular session of 1965 will, as one of its first acts, appropriate \$4,278,000 to make the total available for operating expenses of higher education for fiscal year 1964-65 equal to the sum available for 1963-64, as has been the uniform custom in the past.

Thus for practical purposes Oklahoma may be counted among the approximately 14 states wherein biometrical

difference between the sums appropriated for the two fiscal years of the biennium.

it present these states are all west of the Mississippi except Florida and Illinois, and a majority of them are comparatively sparsely populated. There seems to be a tendency among the more populous states to move toward annual legislative sessions with annual appropriations; or, if retaining only biennial regular sessions, then to specify in the biennial appropriation acts exact and different sums for each of the two fiscal years of the biennium.

For fiscal year 1963-64, eighteen states made appropriations in annual regular sessions, aggregating about 45% of the total of all appropriations in the fifty states for operating expenses of higher education for that year. For the same fiscal year 18 other states made biennial appropriations specifying exact cums for the one fiscal year, aggregating another 30% of the nationwide total of state tax-fund appropriations for operating expenses of higher education.

RHOUE ISLAND. Appropriations of state tax funds for operating expenses of higher education for fiscal year 1964-65:

Table 95. State tax-fund appropriations for operating expenses of higher education in Rhode Island, for fiscal

7700m 10/1 / -	+01 11SCAT
year 1964-65, in thousar Institutions	nd= -0 3 77
Institutions Sums	us of collars.
THE OF COUCTOURS Sime	appropriated
(1)	TOUT OUT THE COU
<u> </u>	(2)
U of Rhode Island	
24-440CC 727SUU	6,706
Rhode Island College	
The Tarter OOTTERE	2,147
Rhode Island Jr Coll	
There are a second of the seco	98
Trustees of State Colleges	36 35
THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O	<u>20</u>
	d One
MO.	0.971

*Governing board of the University and the colleges.

The total appears to represent a gain of 16% over the comparable figure for fiscal year 1962-63, two years ago.

SOUTH DAKOTA. This state now has annual sessions of the legislature, but for the most part appropriations will be made on a biennial basis by the sessions meeting in odd-numbered years, as hitherto.

The even-year sessions are limited 1 to 30 working days, will consider only supplemental appropriations. The regular session of 1964 made supplemental appropriations as follows: To Southern State Teachers College, 25,000 for fiscal year 1963-64, and \$115,000 for fiscal year 1964-65; to the Board of Regents of Education (governing all the institutions) :400,000 to supplement sclaries and support new positions and graduate study, and 1,000,000 for deferred maintenance of the physical plants of the institutions.

Accordingly the revised tabulation of appropriations of state tax funds for operating expenses of higher education for fiscal year 1964-65 is as follors:

Table 96. State tax-fund appropriations for operating expenses of higher education in South Dakota, fiscal year 1964-65, in thousands of dollars.

Institutions Sums	COLLARS.
Sums	enpropriated
	(2)
U of South Dakota	J2,495
South Dakota State Coll	
Sch of Mines and Tech	5,060
Northern State T C	993
Southorn Ct	පිපි5
Southern State T C	459
Black Hills Tchrs Coll	406
General Beadle Tchrs Coll	
Bd of Regents of Education	385
Total	1.655*
	12,338
This is the sum of the	

This is the sum of 31 million appropriated for deferred maintenance, 400,000 for added salaries, and half the biennial appropriation to the Board in 1963 (2255,000).

The Board also governs institutions for the blind and the deaf, for which the biennial appropriation of 1963 was slightly less than 3 million.

The relatively large supplemental appropriations of 1964 apparently bring the total to represent a gain of poor