M. M. Chambers Center for Higher Education College of Education

Illinois State University, Normal, Illinois 61761

Grapevine

SINCE 1958

27th YEAR

Number 318

March-April 1985

Page 1995

TIMELY DATA CIRCULATED WHILE CURRENT

Reports on state tax legislation; state appropriations for universities, colleges, and community colleges; legislation affecting education beyond the high school.

IN THIS ISSUE

FISCAL YEAR 1986 SOON BEGINS. State appropriations continue dollar gains at moderate rates:

| | Voan | V-230 | V 0 2 10 | 0 | 10 | | |
|---|------------------------------|-------------|----------|----------|------------|-------|--|
| C+a+a | Year | Year | Year | | 10-yr gain | | |
| State | 1975-76 (2) | 1983-84 | 1985-86 | per cent | | Page | |
| | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | |
| North Dakota | 48,865 | 109,632 | 124,430 | 13 | 155 | 1996 | |
| Virginia | 277,198 | 617,233 | 767,147 | 24 | 177 | 1996 | |
| THIRTY-EIGHT MAJOR CAMPUSES OF STATE UNIVERSITIES GETTING \$100 MILLION OR MORE FOR FISCAL 1985 | | | | | | | |
| The big major campus is the prototype of a comprehensive academic and scientific community. It is the dynamic core in a society's facilities for higher learning. | | | | | | | |
| THE RATIO OF STA | TE TAX SUPPO ,000 of PERS | | | | PORT | .1999 | |
| HOW THE RATIO VA | RIES FROM ST | TATE TO STA | ATE | | | .2000 | |

* * * * * *

<u>VIRGINIA</u>. Appropriations of state tax funds for operating expenses of higher education, fiscal year 1985-86:

Table 85. State tax-fund appropriations for operating expenses of higher education in Virginia, <u>fiscal year 1985-86</u>, in thousands of dollars.

| Institutions S | ums appropriated |
|---|----------------------|
| (1) | (2) |
| University of Virginia | 86,452 |
| Hospitals | 28,915 |
| <u>Clinch Valley College</u> | 3,260 |
| Clinch Valley College Subtotal, U of V - \$118, | 627 |
| VA Poly Inst & State U | 80,925 |
| Extension Division | 24,006 |
| Research Division | 20,483 |
| | 5,414 |
| VA Commonwealth U | 83,003 |
| | +-1 41 026 |
| Health sciences, hospi | tal 41,926 |
| Subtotal, VCU - \$124,929 | 01.754 |
| College of William and M | |
| Richard Bland Coll | 2,020 |
| VIMS | 6,896 |
| Subtotal, CW&M - \$30,670 | |
| Other Colleges and Unive | |
| Old Dominion U | 36,112 |
| George Mason U | 34,365 |
| James Madison U | 21,974 |
| Norfolk State U | 19,377 |
| Radford U | 15,246 |
| Virginia State U | 14,057 |
| Longwood College | 7,643 |
| Mary Washington College | |
| Virginia Military Inst | 7,166 |
| Christopher Newport Co | 7,100 11ege 6,962 |
| Christopher Newport Co Subtotal, C's & U's - \$1 | 70 12/ |
| Community Collogos | 122 020 |
| Community Colleges | 132,839 |
| Student Aid* | 15,311 |
| St Council of Higher Ed | |
| Scholarship assistance | |
| Eminent scholars | 2,605 |
| Regional grants & cont | |
| Tuition assistance (TA | |
| Other supplement | 229 |
| Virginia scholars prog | ram 300 |
| Subtotal, SCHE - \$22,347 | |
| Eastern VA Med Auth (EVM | A) 7,187 |
| SREB | 80 |
| Innov Tech Auth | 18,172 |
| Southeastern Univ Res As | |
| Other** | 447 |
| Total*** | 767,147 |
| *Includes appropriatio | |

*Includes appropriations made directly to higher education institutions for student aid as well as student aid to:

| VIRGINIA (Footnotes continue | ed) |
|------------------------------|----------------|
| Dept of Health | 100,000 |
| Dept of Rehab Services | 107,970 |
| EVMA | 25,000 |
| Div of war vet claims | 400 |
| Total | 233,370 |
| <pre>**Includes:</pre> | |
| VA inst for Sci Res | 50,000 |
| Melchers/Monroe memorials | 91,640 |
| Marshall Research Ctr | 52,540 |
| VA_Foundation-Humanities | <u>252,500</u> |
| Total | 446,680 |
| ***Included in the total are | general fund |
| appropriations related to | private insti- |
| tutions: | |
| Aid to students attending | |
| private out-of-state insts | |
| Non-state agencies | 26,794,040 |
| Total, private | 40,395,440 |
| | |

NORTH DAKOTA. Appropriations of state tax funds for operating expenses of higher education, biennium 1985-87:

Table 86. State tax-fund appropriations for operating expenses of higher education in North Dakota, <u>undivided bien-ium 1985-87</u>, in thousands of dollars.

| Institutions | Sums | appropriated |
|-------------------------|--------|---------------|
| (1) | | (2) |
| University of North Da | kota | 62,372 |
| <u> Medical Center</u> | | 27,704 |
| Subtotal, U of ND - \$9 | 0,076 | |
| North Dakota State U | | 52,607 |
| Ag experiment station | ns | 25,450 |
| Extension division | | 9,034 |
| Bottineau branch | | 3,028 |
| State forest servi | ce | 993 |
| Subtotal, NDSU - \$91,1 | | |
| State School of Science | e (Wal | hpeton)18,504 |
| Minot State College | | 13,016 |
| Dickinson State College | e | 9,368 |
| Valley City State Coll | ege | 7,415 |
| Mayville State College | | 6,389 |
| State Community College | es* | 9,497 |
| Aid to private coll st | udents | s 500 |
| Board of Higher Educat | | 1,173 |
| Student financial ai | d | 810 |
| Pool of funds** | | 1,000 |
| Total | | 248,860 |
| *As of July 1, 1984. | the | three commun- |

*As of July 1, 1984, the three community colleges are governed by the Board of Higher Education and are considered to be state institutions.

**To be used at the discretion of the Board of Higher Education.

Table 87. THIRTY-EIGHT MAJOR CAMPUSES OF STATE UNIVERSITIES RECEIVING APPROPRIATIONS OF STATE TAX FUNDS OF \$100 MILLION OR MORE FOR ANNUAL OPERATING EXPENSES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1985.

| Campuses (1) | Year 1982-83 (2) | Year 1983-84 (3) | Year 1984-85 (4) | 2-yr gain Per cent (5) |
|--|--|--|--|---|
| U of California (Los Angeles) U of California (Berkeley) Texas A&M (College Station) U of Florida (Gainesville) Ohio State U (Columbus) U of Texas (Austin)* U of California (Davis) U of Wisconsin (Madison) | 269,606 209,746 206,889 223,622 199,109 173,319 173,880 178,072 | 254,446 194,644 241,862 226,917 202,063 195,320 163,980 193,963 | 330,760 258,127 254,392 247,426 213,514 211,132 210,088 203,589 | 23 23 23 11 7 22 21 14 |
| U of Illinois (Champaign-Urbana) U of North Carolina (Chapel Hill) SUNY (Stony Brook) U of Illinois (Chicago) U of Georgia (Athens) Michigan State U (Lansing) U of Michigan (Ann Arbor) U of Washington (Seattle) | 173,194 129,080 158,492 161,694 147,799 155,914 156,013 128,380 | 191,910 141,535 184,469 172,081 157,393 163,737 163,758 168,687 | 202,005 201,808 196,904 190,273 185,282 182,285 182,113 168,686 | 17 56 24 18 25 17 17 |
| U of Arizona (Tucson) Louisiana State U (Baton Rouge) North Carolina State U (Raleigh) SUNY (Buffalo) U of California (San Diego) U of Tennessee (Knoxville)* U of Iowa (Iowa City) Wayne State U (Detroit) | 126,025 139,910 124,062 128,382 113,419 112,543 126,421 104,354 | 147,249 137,183 140,062 141,635 107,420 114,177 123,211 112,046 | 165,251 158,696 154,883 147,533 140,714 137,739 134,702 124,659 | 31 13 25 15 24 22 7 19 |
| Iowa State U (Ames) Purdue U (West Lafayette) U of Massachusetts (Amherst) Texas Tech U (Lubbock) Arizona State U (Tempe) U of California (San Francisco) VA Commonwealth U (Richmond) | 117,936 105,502 112,062 111,503 89,226 99,632 96,877 | 117,665 113,572 117,067 118,028 102,551 93,711 101,770 | 124,559 122,654 122,653 121,063 117,383 115,447 115,245 | 6 16 9 9 32 16 19 |
| U of Hawaii (Manoa) SUNY Downstate Med Ctr (NYC) U of South Florida (Tampa) U of California (Irvine) U of Missouri (Columbia) Indiana U (Bloomington)* Washington State U (Pullman) | 98,840 89,925 97,686 79,710 93,424 81,602 84,949 | 108,041 102,896 100,131 78,397 91,365 93,639 100,018 | 111,876 110,960 110,509 109,657 100,790 100,085 100,018 | 13 23 13 38 8 23 |
| Totals Weighted average percentage of gain | 5,178,799 | 5,478,599 | 6,185,460 | |

Weighted average percentage of gain
*Does not include the medical school located in another city at a distance from the main campus of the university.

<u>Note</u>: The main campus of the U of Minnesota (Minneapolis-St. Paul) is no doubt appropriate for this table, but is not entered due to the commingling of the main campus with the entire multi-campus University of Minnesota.

HOW THE RATIO BETWEEN STATE DOLLARS FOR HIGHER EDUCATION AND PERSONAL INCOMES VARIES AMONG THE FIFTY STATES

Table 88 says, among other things, the median amount of state tax money going for higher education <u>per capita</u> in a state is in the vicinity of \$120. Two states near this midpoint are Colorado and Maryland. The range is from \$44.69 in New Hampshire to \$366.02 in Alaska. Half of the states are closely grouped between \$100 and \$140. The variations are due to population, wealth, and many other factors. Of the ten most populous states, four are above the median and six below it (Column 3, Table 88), their rankings being North Carolina, 5th; California, 7th; Texas, 8th; New York, 16th; Michigan, 31st; Illinois, 37th; Florida, 40th; Ohio, 42nd; Pennsylvania, 45th; New Jersey, 48th.

Alongside (in Columns 4, 5, and 6) the measure of state support dollars is the amount per \$1,000 of personal income in the state--always a much smaller figure than the foregoing per capita figures--affording some notion of how state support may be affected by comparative affluence. (The space between Columns 3 and 4, with its initially confusing criss-cross of lines, is probably the shortest and most compact way to begin a digest of the data.) Briefly, five states have the same rankings by both measures: Alaska, 1st; Wyoming, 2nd; Iowa, 14th; Pennsylvania, 45th; New Hampshire, 50th.

An upward-sloping line between Columns 3 and 4, as in, for example, Mississippi, is in accord with the fact that this state is known as a state of relatively low personal incomes, but a determination to support higher education. Downward-sloping lines may indicate, among other things, a large proportion of large, industrialized, high-tax states in which public higher education, though of great importance, as yet plays a somewhat smaller role in the total statewide society than in others. Figures alone, such as we have here, should not be used alone to advance conclusively any sweeping conclusions. Used in conjunction with other relevant facts, they may have some part in enriching the background information of an issue being considered.

CENTER FOR HIGHER EDUCATION

COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

ILLINOIS STATE UNIVERSITY, NORMAL, IL 61761

Edward Hines, Director

Grapevine

M. M. Chambers, Editor Gwen B. Pruyne, Managing Editor

Responsibility for any errors in the data, or for opinions expressed, is not to be attributed to any organization or person other than M. M. Chambers.

GRAPEVINE is circulated to numerous key persons in each of the fifty states.

Not copyrighted. If you quote or paraphrase, please credit the source in appropriate manner.

Non-Profit Org. U.S. POSTAGE PAID Normal, Illinois Permit No. 1 Table 88. Appropriations of state tax-funds for operating expenses of higher education, fiscal year 1984-85:

| | | Per Capita | ear 1904-05. | Pe | r \$1,000 Personal | Income |
|-----|----------------|-----------------------|--------------|---|--------------------|---|
| | \$ | State | Rank | Rank | State | \$ |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| 3 | 66.02 | Alaska | 1 | | Alaska | 20.26 |
| | 97.61 | Wyoming | 2 | | | 16.45 |
| | 93.82 | Hawaii | 3. | <u>ر</u> 3 | | 16.37 |
| | 62.52 | North Dakota | 4. | 4 | North Carolina | 16.13 |
| | 60.70 | North Carolina | 5. | ,5 | Mississippi | 15.58 |
| | 59.81 | New Mexico | 6. | 1.6 | Utah | 15.54 |
| | 53.08 | California | 7, | X7 | Hawa ii | 15.14 |
| | 51.81 | Texas | 87 | 3//~8 | | 15.04 |
| | 51.31 | Minnesota | 9. | 7 | Alabama | 13.73 |
| | 42.57 | Kansas | 10, | | North Dakota | 13.70 |
| | 41.33 | Delaware | 11, | | | 13.22 |
| | 40.99 | South Carolina | 12, | 12 | | 12.87 |
| | 40.33 | Utah | 13. | .13 | | 12.70 |
| | 35.20 | Iowa | 14. | .14 | | 12.62 |
| | 34.52 | Nebraska | 15. | ,15 | 9 | 12.23 |
| | 33.59 | New York | 16 | $\sqrt{}$ $\sqrt{}$ $\sqrt{}$ $\sqrt{}$ | | 12.19 |
| | 33.54 | Washington | 17 | 1.17 | | 11.94 |
| | 32.22 31.40 | Montana | 18 | , 18 | | 11.93 |
| & 1 | 30.10 | Virginia Wissensin | 19 | √· 19 | | 11.91 |
| | 28.50 | Wisconsin Arizona | 20 | ,20 | | 11.69 |
| 12(| 27.75 | Alabama | 22 , | 21 | Idaho Karana | 11.65 |
| | 27.75 | Mississippi | 23. | 22 | | 11.51 |
| _ | 26.11 | Louisiana | 24. | 24 | | 11.46 |
| | 23.90 | Colorado | 25. | 25 | | 11.42 ∞ 11.07 × |
| ₹ | 13.64 | Maryland | 26. | // // | | 0 |
| | 12.63 | Oklahoma | 27 | 26 | | |
| ן ש | 12.05 | West Virginia | 28. | 28 | | 10.62 " |
| = i | 12.03 | Idaho | 29. | .29 | | 10.53 p 10.27 10.17 p 10.17 p 9.85 N |
| | 11.50 | Massachusetts | 30, | 30 | | 10.27 |
| | 11.05 | Michigan | 31 \ | 31 | Oklahoma | 10.17 |
| | 10.87 | Kentucky | 32 | .32 | | at 58.6 |
| | 10.82 | Rhode Island | 33. | 33 | | 9.67 |
|](| 08.09 | Georgia | 34. | 34 | • | 9.57 |
| | 07.43 | Arkansas | 35/ | .35 | | 9.51 |
| | 05.82 | Oregon | 36. | .36 | | 9.44 |
| 10 | 03.20 | Illinois | 37 | ∕ ∖37 | | 8.64 |
| 10 | 01.92 | Tennessee | 38/ | 38 بر | | 8.45 |
| | 99.74 | Indiana | 39, | ×.39 | | 8.39 |
| | 97.02 | Florida | 40 | ,40 | Illinois | 8.29 |
| | 97.00 | Connecticut | 41. | .41 | Florida | 8.29 |
| | 88.96 | Ohio | 42. | 42 | Vermont | 7.95 |
| | 38.18 | Nevada | 43. | 43 | Ohio | 7.92 |
| | 84.05 | South Dakota | 44. | 44 | Missouri | 7.37 |
| | 82.90 | Pennsylvania | 45 | 45 | Pennsylvania | 7.23 |
| | 81.13 | Missouri | 46 | .46 | Maine | 7.23 |
| | 79.51 | Vermont | 47. | .47 | Nevada | 6.99 |
| | 78.73 | New Jersey | 48. | .48 | | 6.48 |
| | 71.83 | Maine | 49 | .49 | New Jersey | 5.56 |
| | 44.69 | New Hampshire | 50 | 50 | New Hampshire | 3.70 |

Source: "How the States Rank on 7 Scales," THE CHRONICLE OF HIGHER EDUCATION, October 31, 1984, Page 17.

THIRTY-EIGHT MAJOR CAMPUSES OF STATE UNIVERSITIES

Table 87 lists major campuses. Its focus is thus distinctly different from those of the two tables which immediately preceded it (Tables 83 and 84 in GRAPEVINE, January-February 1985); respectively multi-campus universities and consolidated systems of higher education. To reinforce the change of focus, each entry in Table 87 identifies the city that is the seat of the major campus named. To obviate confusion it is also well to remember that some of these campuses are "free standing"; others are components of a multi-campus university; and others are units of a statewide consolidated system. Thus there is much over-lapping between Table 87 and its two predecessor tables.

Also one can reflect that one major campus, housing a comprehensive university offering undergraduate and graduate courses of study in its departments, colleges, and schools, as well as in centers of research and service which develop on the campus from time to time, is the historic prototype of an academic community hospitable and devoted to the advancement of knowledge in liberal, scientific, technical and professional fields. In military metaphor, it is a "strong point" in the frontier pushing forward learning, wisdom and good will, and slowly conquering the realms of ignorance, prejudice, and violence. It should not be reproached or ridiculed for its large size, or for "trying to be all things to all people."

Range, Median, and Geographic Distribution

For fiscal year 1985 it appears that the top among these campuses is the University of California at Los Angeles, with nearly \$331 million. UCal at Berkeley is second with \$258 million. At the lower end of the scale, recently breaching the \$100 million cut-off, are Washington State U at Pullman and Indiana U at Bloomington. The median for the 38 campuses seems to be around \$150 million, bracketing North Carolina State U at Raleigh and State University of New York at Buffalo. Nineteen campuses in fourteen states are above \$150 million, and of these, ten are above \$200 million.

California, the most populous state, has six \$100-million-or-more campuses. Texas has three; New York, three; Michigan, three; Florida, two; Illinois, two; North Carolina, two; Indiana, two; Iowa, two; Washington, two; Arizona, two. Twenty-nine of these campuses are located in eleven states. Seven of these states rank among the ten most populous in the nation. Also, all eleven except Iowa and Arizona are adjacent to the country's coasts: Pacific, Atlantic, Gulf, and Great Lakes shores.

Altogether, the comprehensive large one-campus university is more than a historic prototype; it is also a type that in many senses can be said to be a dynamic core--an essential element--in a society's facilities for higher learning. It calls up the eloquent title of the 94-page commentary published by the Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching in 1976: The States and Higher Education--A Proud Past and a Vital Future.