

GRAPEVINE  
 \* \* \* \* \*

A newsletter on state tax legislation; state appropriations for universities, colleges, and junior colleges; legislation affecting education at any level. There is no charge for GRAPEVINE, but recipients are asked to send timely newsnotes regarding pertinent events in their respective states.

PROGRESS OF EIGHT STATES IN 1966

Table 70. Appropriations of state tax funds for operating expenses of higher education enacted in 1966 for fiscal year 1966-67 by eight states, compared with alternate fiscal years 1960-61 through 1964-65, showing dollar gains and percentage gains over 6 years and over latest two years, in thousands of dollars.

States	Fiscal years ending with odd numbers				1965-1967		1961-1967	
	year 1960-61	year 1962-63	year 1964-65	year 1966-67	2-year gain	% gain	6-year gain	% gain
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
Colo*	24,332	31,255	35,837	51,916	16,079	45	27,584	113 $\frac{1}{2}$
Ga**	26,605	32,162	41,770	59,193	17,423	41 $\frac{1}{2}$	32,588	122 $\frac{1}{2}$
Kas*	27,938	35,038	44,103	54,781	10,678	26 $\frac{1}{2}$	26,843	96
Ky***	19,672	29,573	42,782	63,166	20,384	47 $\frac{1}{2}$	43,494	221
Md**	25,166	30,678	39,177	61,567	22,390	57	36,401	144 $\frac{1}{2}$
N M*	11,239	14,372	18,636	26,088	7,452	40	14,849	132
Va**	29,861	34,625	42,421	64,134	21,713	51	34,273	115
W Va*	20,337	24,437	27,761	42,189	14,423	52	21,852	107 $\frac{1}{2}$
Totals	185,150	-	292,487	423,034	130,547	-	237,884	-
Weighted average percentages	-	-	-	-	-	44 $\frac{1}{2}$	-	128 $\frac{1}{2}$

\*Detailed tabulation for fiscal year 1966-67 is in this issue.  
 \*\*Detailed tabulation for 1966-67 will appear in a later issue.  
 \*\*\*Detailed tabulation is on GRAPEVINE page 551 (Table 68), March 1966 issue.

"MOPPING UP" FISCAL YEAR 1965-66: LATE REPORTS  
 ON MASSACHUSETTS AND PENNSYLVANIA.....Pages 558-560

Statement of ownership and circulation of GRAPEVINE is on Page 556 (reverse hereof).

COLORADO. Appropriations of state tax funds for operating expenses of higher education, fiscal year 1966-67:

Table 71. State tax-fund appropriations for operating expenses of higher education in Colorado, fiscal year 1966-67, in thousands of dollars.

Institutions (1)	Sums appropriated (2)
U of Colorado	\$13,187
Medical School, hosp, and Nurses' School	9,229
Subtotal, U of C - \$22,416	
Colorado State U	8,396
Ag Exp Sta	1,759
Coop Ag Exten Serv	1,125
Subtotal, CSU - \$11,280	
Colorado State Coll	4,292
Colorado Sch of Mines	2,420
Southern Colo State Coll	2,369
Western State Coll	1,710
Adams State Coll	1,615
Metropolitan State Coll*	1,415
Ft. Lewis College	1,016
Subtotal, all u's & C's - \$48,533	
Commission on Higher Edn	106
State aid to jr colls	3,277
Total	51,916

\* A new state college opened in the Denver metropolitan area in the fall of 1965.

The total for fiscal year 1966-67 is a gain of slightly less than 45% over the comparable figure for fiscal year 1964-65, two years ago. The 6-year gain since fiscal year 1960-61 is 113 $\frac{1}{4}$ %.

KANSAS. Appropriations of state tax funds for operating expenses of higher education, fiscal year 1966-67:

Table 72. State tax-fund appropriations for operating expenses of higher education in Kansas, fiscal year 1966-67, in thousands of dollars.

Institutions (1)	Sums appropriated (2)
U of Kansas	\$15,074
Medical Center	5,773
Subtotal, U of K - \$20,847	
Kansas State U	15,159
Wichita State U *	5,111
Kas St Tchrs Coll, Emporia	4,426
Kas St Coll of Pittsburg	4,170
Fort Hays Kas State Coll	3,141
State aid to municipal univ	
Washburn U of Topeka**	330
Dental students***	30
State aid to jr colls****	
	1,467
Total	54,781

\*Formerly a municipal university; now a state institution and an "associate" of the University of Kansas.

\*\*At the rate of \$3 per credit-hour enrolled October 15 for lower division; \$5 for upper division.

\*\*\*Assistance to Kansas students studying dentistry outside the state. Kansas does not maintain a school of dentistry.

\*\*\*\*\$3 per credit hour, plus dollar-for-dollar matching of student fees.

The two-year gain appears to be 26 $\frac{1}{2}$ %; the six-year gain 96%.

GRAPEVINE is owned and circulated by M. M. Chambers. It is not a publication of any institution or association. Responsibility for any errors in the data, or for opinions expressed, is not to be attributed to any organization or person other than M. M. Chambers. GRAPEVINE is circulated chiefly to persons in position to reciprocate by furnishing prompt and accurate reports from their respective states regarding tax legislation, appropriations for higher education, and legislation affecting education at any level.

Address communications to M. M. Chambers, Education Building, Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana, 47405

M. M. Chambers, Education Building, Indiana University, Bloomington

NEW MEXICO. Appropriations of state tax funds for operating expenses of higher education, fiscal year 1966-67:

Table 73. State tax-fund appropriations for operating expenses of higher education in New Mexico, fiscal year 1966-67, in thousands of dollars.

Institutions (1)	Sums appropriated (2)
U of New Mexico	\$11,208 a
New Mexico State U	7,683 b
New Mexico Highlands U	1,608
Western New Mexico U	1,257
Eastern New Mexico U	2,836
N M Inst Mining & Tech	1,381 c
N M Military Institute	0 d
Student Loan Guar Program	100
WICHE	15 e
Total	26,088

- a - Includes \$145,000 for WICHE student exchange program and a supplementary dental student exchange program.
- b - Includes \$217,000 for administering the State Department of Agriculture and \$172,000 contingency for replacement, if necessary, of federal landgrant teaching funds.
- c - Includes \$455,000 for the State Bureau of Mining and Mineral Resources
- d - Accumulated balances and other incomes were such that no appropriation was made for 1966-67. In this institution three-fifths of the students are in grades 10 to 12, and two-fifths at college level. In prior years the state appropriation has been for the support of the entire program.
- e - This is the state's flat appropriation for support of the Western Interstate Commission for Higher Education, and is in addition to the \$145,000 mentioned in Footnote a, above.

The total for fiscal year 1966-67 is a gain of 40% over the comparable figure for fiscal year 1964-65, two years ago. The 6-year gain since fiscal year 1960-61 is 132%.

The legislature authorized the medical school of the University of New

NEW MEXICO (Continued from preceding column)

Mexico to proceed with a full four-year program. The medical school had been approved by the legislature in 1961 as a two-year basic sciences medical school. The first class was admitted in the fall of 1964. This class will now continue with the third year program 1966-67 and the fourth year program in 1967-68.

WEST VIRGINIA. Appropriations of state tax funds for operating expenses of higher education, fiscal year 1966-67:

Table 74. State tax-fund appropriations for operating expenses for higher education in West Virginia, fiscal year 1966-67, in thousands of dollars.

Institutions (1)	Sums appropriated (2)
West Virginia U	\$16,167
Medical Center	9,991 *
Potomac State Coll	686
Subtotal, W Va U -	\$26,844
Marshall University	4,378
W Va State College	1,940
Concord State Coll	1,614
Fairmont State Coll	1,571
W Va Inst of Technology	1,570
West Liberty State Coll	1,538
Shepherd State Coll	977
Glenville State Coll	999
Bluefield State Coll	757
Total	42,189

\* This sum includes an estimated \$4½ million to accrue to the Medical Center from the proceeds of an allocated tax of approximately 1¢ per bottle on the sale of soft drinks in the state.

The total of fiscal year 1966-67 seems to be a gain of 52% over the comparable figure for fiscal year 1964-65, two years ago. The six-year gain over fiscal year 1960-61 appears to be 107½%.

"MOPPING UP" FISCAL YEAR 1965-66: LATE REPORTS ON MASSACHUSETTS AND PENNSYLVANIA

To avoid confusion with the reports for fiscal year 1966-67, now coming in, GRAPEVINE exhibits here the figures for the two states from which information for fiscal year 1965-66 was not received until recently:

Table 66a. Rectification of Table 66 (GRAPEVINE, Pages 535-536)--

	1959-60	1961-62	1963-64	1965-66	G1964-1966	G1960-1966
Mass	12,167	15,281	19,874	32,022	12,148	61
Pa	43,471	46,431	66,064	102,611	36,547	55
						19,855
						163
						59,140
						136

MASSACHUSETTS. Appropriations of state tax funds for operating expenses of higher education, fiscal year 1965-66:

Table 75. State tax-fund appropriations for operating expenses of higher education in Massachusetts, fiscal year 1965-66, in thousands of dollars.

Institutions	Sums appropriated
(1)	(2)
U of Massachusetts (incl Amherst and Boston)*	\$18,148
State colleges --	
Boston	1,782
Bridgewater	1,212
Salem	1,121
Fitchburg	868
Worcester	764
Framingham	685
Westfield	592
Lowell	546
Maritime Acad	357
North Adams	355
Mass Coll of Art	355
Subtotal, st c's -	\$8,637
Technological insts --	
Lowell Tech Inst	1,882
Southeastern Mass T I	1,446
Subtotal, T I's -	\$3,328
Community colls --	
Massachusetts Bay	399
Holyoke	262
Quinsigamond	233
Northern Essex	229
Berkshire	212
Cape Cod	196

(Continued in next column)

Table 75. (Continued from preceding column)

Greenfield	152
Mount Wachusett	129
North Shore	97
Subtotal, comm colls -	\$1,909
Total	32,022

Footnote to Table 75.

\* In Boston is a 2-year branch campus opened in 1965. Also included in the appropriation is \$100,000 for the employment of a dean and other personnel to formulate and establish the educational program of the university of Massachusetts Medical School in Worcester, which is currently in the planning stages.

The total for fiscal year 1965-66 is a gain of 61% over the comparable figure for fiscal year 1963-64, two years ago. The six-year gain since fiscal year 1959-60 is 163%.

Note that this is a late report for fiscal year 1965-66, not to be confused with the reports for fiscal year 1966-67, currently coming in from other states. For purposes of the 50-state summary for 1965-66 (GRAPEVINE page 535) it was necessary to estimate that 1965-66 total for Massachusetts. In that instance GRAPEVINE'S estimate of \$35 million overshoot the mark by nearly \$3 million. This will be more than balanced, however, by the fact that in the similar estimate for Pennsylvania, which had to be made at the same time, GRAPEVINE undershot the mark by several millions. Fortunately, with one overshoot estimate balanced by another undershot estimate, apparently the early 50-state tabulation for 1965-66 will stand

MASSACHUSETTS (Continued from page 558)

with only comparatively minor corrections. These rectifications will be made in future versions of the continuing annual summary tables.

PENNSYLVANIA. (Revised version for fiscal year 1965-66). Appropriations of state tax funds for operating expenses of higher education, fiscal year 1965-66:

Table 76. State tax-fund appropriations for operating expenses of higher education in Pennsylvania, fiscal year 1965-66, in thousands of dollars.

Institutions (1)	Sums appropriated (2)
Pennsylvania State U	\$30,142*
For Social Security	1,200@
For retirement costs	2,800#
<u>Subtotal, Pa St U -</u>	<u>\$34,142</u>
State colleges--	
Indiana	3,050
West Chester	2,942
California	2,342
Slippery Rock	2,042
Clarion	1,973
Millersville	1,921
Edinboro	1,896**
Bloomsburg	1,845
Shippensburg	1,638
Kutztown	1,523
East Stroudsburg	1,480
Lock Haven	1,338
Mansfield	1,325
Cheyney	1,142
Emergency fund	500
<u>Subtotal, St Colls -</u>	<u>\$26,956</u>
Private institutions --	
U of Pittsburgh	13,373 a/
Temple University	11,547 b/
U of Pennsylvania	7,531 c/
Jefferson Medical Coll	2,165
Hahnemann Medical Coll	1,343
Drexel Inst Technology	1,741 d/
Phila Coll Osteopathy	852
Women's Medical Coll	736
Lincoln University	534
Pa Coll Textiles & Science	188
Phila Museum Coll of Art	186
Del Valley Coll of Science	119

(Continued in next column)

Table 76. (Continued from preceding column)

Pa St Coll of Optometry	75
Dickinson Law School	70
Pa Coll of Podiatry	50
Pa Academy of Fine Arts	3
<u>Subtotal, private insts</u>	<u>\$40,513</u>
<u>Total, except pub jr colls</u>	<u>101,611</u>
<u>State aid for pub jr colls</u>	<u>1,000e/</u>
<u>Total</u>	<u>102,611</u>

\* Includes \$1.4 million appropriated specifically to replace income foregone by reduction of student fees: \$860,000 to reduce the fee at the University Park main campus to \$150 per quarter term; and \$541,000 to reduce the fees at the several Commonwealth campuses to \$130 per quarter term.

@ From the Department of Labor and Industry.

# From the General State Authority.

\*\* Includes a supplemental appropriation of approximately \$240,000.

a - This figure is an estimate of the total appropriated to date to the University of Pittsburgh. An "emergency appropriation" of \$5 million was made shortly before the beginning of fiscal year 1965-66, and at the moment it is difficult to ascertain precisely the exact amounts attributable to each fiscal year.

b - Includes  $\frac{1}{2}$  million to enable Temple University to reduce student fees. The bill as originally introduced allocated \$3.1 million for this purpose, but when it became apparent that enactment would not be accomplished in time to affect fees for the first semester of the current academic year, this sum was reduced by \$2.6 million.

c - The amount in the bill passed by both houses was \$7,931,000, but this was reduced by the governor by approximately \$400,000.

d - Includes \$1,101,000 for general maintenance, \$500,000 for graduate program, and \$140,000 for Library Science.

e - \$1 million as aid for annual operating expenses. Another \$1 million was appropriated for capital improvements.

(Continued on page 560)

PENNSYLVANIA (Continued from page 559)

The foregoing represents GRAPEVINE'S second try at a report of Pennsylvania's complex picture, and shows a total nearly \$3 million less than our quick report of one month ago (GRAPEVINE page 553). We hope we are now within the approximately 1% margin of error which GRAPEVINE temporarily tolerates in order to make the reports as timely as possible. If and when substantial corrections are brought to our attention, they will be circulated.

This present report indicates that the total for fiscal year 1965-66 is a gain of about 55% over the comparable figure for fiscal year 1963-64, two years earlier.

The 6-year gain since 1959-60 is about 136%. These above-average gains are due in large part to the spurt in appropriations to two large private universities in 1965-66-- the University of Pittsburgh, which had accumulated a huge operating deficit, and Temple University in Philadelphia, where there was an insistent public demand that this institution must be enabled to reduce its student fees in order to serve larger numbers of students residing in the urban area.

With 40% of the total state tax-fund appropriations going to some 15 private institutions, Pennsylvania can be compared with other states only with the greatest of care. But it may be noted that Pennsylvania's \$102 million is only one-fourth as large as California's \$413 million for the same fiscal year, and not much more than one-third of New York's \$283 million, though the population of either California or New York is only about one and one-half times that of Pennsylvania.

Another view shows three states each having between 10 million and 11 million people only slightly less than Pennsylvania's figure of between 11 million and 12 million. Pennsylvania's \$102 million is one-half of Illinois' \$204 million, five-eighths of Texas' \$165 million, and one-fifth larger than Ohio's \$85 million for the same fiscal year.

Michigan, with about two-thirds the population of Pennsylvania, appropriated \$176 million; Indiana, with well under half as many people as Pennsylvania, \$90 million; Washington, with about one-third as many people as Pennsylvania, \$95 million.

GRAPEVINE continues to hail Pennsylvania's new practice of appropriating state tax funds to Pennsylvania State University (for all practical purposes a strictly public institution) specifically to enable it to reduce student fees without loss of operating income.

The case of Temple University is legally less clear. The university is a private nonprofit corporation, even though a 1965 statute provides for placing 12 appointees of the governor on its board of trustees, and in a limited sense makes it a "state-related" institution. The relationship is foggy-- nothing like the clear-cut transfer of the property to state ownership and the governance of the institution to a public corporation, as has occurred in recent years in the cases of Rutgers, Buffalo, Penn (Cleveland State University), Houston, Kansas City, and other urban private universities.

But who is GRAPEVINE to say that Pennsylvania is not entitled to her own complexities? A knowledgeable observer in Harrisburg reports that we may expect soon to see a somewhat similar relationship develop between the Commonwealth and the private University of Pittsburgh.